

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,226	08/19/2003	Sivaram Krishnan	16869A-003510US	5724	
20350 7	0350 7590 10/23/2006			EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			KIM, KENNETH S		
TWO EMBAR	CADERO CENTER				
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2111		
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/23/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,226	KRISHNAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth S. KIM	2111	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Second This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) Claim(s) 27-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration. r election requirement.	KENNETH S. KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) I)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte	

Application/Control Number: 10/644,226 Page 2

Art Unit: 2111

1. Claims 27-40 remain for examination.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 27-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jaggar, U.S. Patent No. 5,568,646.
- 4. Claims 27-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hammond, U.S. Patent No. 5,638,525.
- 5. The rejections are respectfully maintained for the reasons set forth in the previous office action incorporated herein by reference and for the reason that emulation or translation is typically done to convert an instruction into a sequence of one or more instructions and that merely grouping instructions used for emulation apart from the remaining instructions does not indicate any functional limitation.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed September 26, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that the references do not teach (a) emulation into a sequence of instructions and (b) provision of instructions just for emulation.

Examiner believes that those differences do not arise to patentable distinctions.

Page 3

An emulating sequence of instructions are typically describes as a sequence of one or more instructions, and the capability of emulating an instruction by a sequence of more than one instruction is implicit when an instruction can be emulated by one instruction.

Merely characterizing the emulating instructions as different from the regular instruction presents no functional difference. Any number of equivalent instructions can be encoded and presented as a separate group different from the original instruction, and those instructions can be used for emulation, wherein the equivalent instructions have no functional difference from the original instructions.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth S KIM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3627. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-17:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (571) 272-3632. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for all communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 17, 2006